

Scalar curvature as moment map in generalized Kähler geometry

Ryushi Goto

Abstract

It is known that the scalar curvature arises as the moment map in Kähler geometry. In pursuit of this analogy, we introduce the notion of a moment map in generalized Kähler geometry which gives the definition of a generalized scalar curvature on a generalized Kähler manifold. From the viewpoint of the moment map, we obtain the generalized Ricci form which is a representative of the first Chern class of the anticanonical line bundle. It turns out that infinitesimal deformations of generalized Kähler structures with constant generalized scalar curvature are finite dimensional on a compact manifold. Explicit descriptions of the generalized Ricci form and the generalized scalar curvature are given on a generalized Kähler manifold of type $(0, 0)$. Poisson structures constructed from a Kähler action of T^m on a Kähler-Einstein manifold give intriguing deformations of generalized Kähler-Einstein structures. In particular, the anticanonical divisor consists of three lines on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in general position yields nontrivial examples of generalized Kähler-Einstein structures

1 Introduction

Let (X, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold with a symplectic structure ω . An almost complex structure J is compatible with ω if a pair (J, ω) gives an almost Kähler structure on M . We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\omega$ the set of almost complex structures which are compatible with ω . Then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\omega$ is an infinite dimensional Kähler manifold on which Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of (M, ω) act $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\omega$ preserving the Kähler structure. Each $J \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\omega$ gives a Riemannian metric $g(J)$ and we denote by $s(J)$ the scalar curvature of $g(J)$ which is regarded as a function on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\omega$. Then the following theorem was established in Kähler geometry by Fujiki and Donaldson.

THEOREM 1.1. [Fu], [Do] *The scalar curvature is the moment map on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\omega$ for the action of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms.*

The moment map framework in Kähler geometry suggests that the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics is inevitably linked with the certain stability in algebraic geometry which leads to well-known Donaldson-Tian-Yau conjecture in Kähler geometry.

Generalized Kähler geometry is a successful generalization of ordinary Kähler geometry which is equivalent to hermitian geometry satisfying the certain torsion conditions. Many interesting examples of generalized Kähler manifolds were already constructed by holomorphic Poisson structures [Go1], [Go2], [Go3], [Go4], [Gu1], [Hi1], [Hi2], [Lin1].

Main theme of this paper is to pursue an analogue of moment map framework in generalized Kähler geometry which gives the notion of the scalar curvature on a generalized Kähler manifold. In this paper we assume that a generalized Kähler structure consists of commuting two generalized complex structures $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is an arbitrary almost generalized complex structure and $\mathcal{J}_\psi$ is induced from a d -closed nondegenerate, pure spinor ψ of symplectic type^{*1}. We construct an invariant function from $\mathcal{J}$ and ψ which is referred to the generalized scalar curvature GR. Then it turn out that a moment map in generalized Kähler geometry is given by the generalized scalar curvature GR. From the view point of moment map, the notion of generalized Ricci curvature is introduced and the definition of generalized Kähler-Einstein structure are provided.

In order to obtain moment map framework, one may try to follow the same way as in Kähler geometry by using the Levi-civita connection and the curvature. However, we do without the use of the Levi-civita connection and the curvature in this paper because the notion of Levi-civita connections and curvature in generalized Kähler geometry are very different from the ones in Kähler geometry and are not suitable for our purpose. Nondegenerate, pure spinors play a central role rather than generalized complex structures in this paper. A nondegenerate, pure spinor is a differential form on a manifold M which induces an almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ by $\ker \phi = E_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\overline{\ker \phi} = \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$. Conversely, the canonical line bundle $K_{\mathcal{J}}$ of a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ gives a nondegenerate, pure spinor unique up to multiplication by nonzero complex functions. Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be trivializations of $K_{\mathcal{J}}$, where ϕ_α is a nondegenerate, pure spinor which induces generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$. Then the exterior derivative of the differential form ϕ_α is given by

$$d\phi_\alpha = \eta_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha + N_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha$$

where η_α is a real section $T_M \oplus T_M^*$ and N_α is also a real section of $\wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^3 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$. A real function ρ_α is defined by

$$\langle \phi_\alpha, \overline{\psi} \rangle_s = \rho_\alpha \langle \psi, \overline{\psi} \rangle_s,$$

^{*1} Thus ψ is given by $\psi = e^{b+\sqrt{-1}\omega}$, where ω is a real symplectic form. We can obtain more generalization of moment map framework if we have a suitable notion of generalized hamiltonian, for instance, the cases where ψ is of a fixed type which gives symplectic foliations.

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ denotes the inner metric of Spin representation which is a $2n$ -form on M . Then $\mathcal{J}$ acts on η_α by $\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$. By Spin representation, $\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha$ acts on the differential form ψ by $\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha \cdot \psi$. Taking the exterior derivative d , we have a differential form $d(\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha \cdot \psi)$ which is locally defined. We also obtain a differential form $d(\mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha \cdot \psi)$. Then it turns out that $-2d(\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha \cdot \psi) + d(\mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha \cdot \psi)$ does not depend on the choice of trivializations of $K_{\mathcal{J}}$ which defines a differential form on M . Since $\psi = e^{b+\sqrt{-1}\omega}$, it follows that $d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi}$ is given by

$$d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} = (P - \sqrt{-1}Q) \cdot \bar{\psi}, \quad (1.1)$$

where P, Q are real d -closed 2-forms. Thus we define a generalized Ricci form and a generalized scalar curvature GR by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GRic} &:= -P \quad \text{generalized Ricci form,} \\ \text{GR} &:= n \frac{P \wedge \omega^{n-1}}{\omega^n} : \text{generalized scalar curvature} \end{aligned}$$

where ω is a symplectic form and GR is a real function. An almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is compatible with ψ if a pair $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ is an almost generalized Kähler structure. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ the set of almost generalized complex structures which are compatible with ψ . Then it turns out that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ admits a Kähler structure on which the generalized Hamiltonian group acts preserving its Kähler structure. The Lie algebra of generalized Hamiltonian group is given by real smooth functions.

Then our main theorems is the following:

THEOREM 6.2 There exists a moment map $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(M)^*$ for the generalized Hamiltonian action which is given by the generalized scalar curvature GR ,

$$\langle \mu(\mathcal{J}), f \rangle = (\sqrt{-1})^{-n} \int_M f(GR_{\mathcal{J}}) \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$$

In Section 2, we shall give a brief review of almost generalized complex structures focusing on nondegenerate, pure spinors and in Section 3, we define an almost generalized Kähler structure. In Section 4, we recall the stability theorem of generalized Kähler structures which is crucial to construct nontrivial examples of generalized Kähler manifolds. In Section 5, we define a generalized Ricci form GRic and we show that GRic is a representative of the first Chern class of the anticanonical line bundle $K_{\mathcal{J}}$. The generalized scalar curvature is obtained from the generalized Ricci form. The generalized scalar curvature is an invariant function under the action of the extension of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms by d -closed b fields. In Section 6, we formulate the moment map framework of

generalized Kähler geometry. After preliminary results are shown in Section 7, our main theorem is proved in Section 8. In Section 9, we show that infinitesimal deformations of generalized Kähler structures with constant generalized scalar curvature are given by an elliptic complex. In particular, the infinitesimal deformations are finite dimensional on a compact manifold. In Section 10, we give simple expressions of the generalized Ricci form and the generalized scalar curvature of a generalized Kähler structure of type $(0, 0)$.[†] A generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_\phi, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ of type $(0, 0)$ is, by definition, induced from a pair

$$(\phi = e^{B+\sqrt{-1}\omega_1}, \psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_2})$$

of d -closed, nondegenerate, pure spinors of symplectic types, where B is a real 2-form and ω_1 and ω_2 are symplectic forms respectively. Then the 2-form GRic and the function GR are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GRic} &= -dB\omega_1^{-1}(d\log \frac{\omega_1^n}{\omega_2^n}) \\ (\text{GR})\omega_2^n &= \omega_2^{n-1} \wedge dB\omega_1^{-1}(d\log \frac{\omega_1^n}{\omega_2^n}), \end{aligned}$$

where $B : T_M \rightarrow T_M^*$ and $\omega_i^{-1} : T_M^* \rightarrow T_M$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then it turns out that the generalized Kähler structures coming from hyperKähler structures have vanishing GRic form. In Section 11, we define a generalized Kähler-Einstein structure. In Section 12, we provide nontrivial examples of generalized Kähler-Einstein structures which arise as Poisson deformations from Kähler-Einstein manifolds on which T^m acts preserving its Kähler structure. In particular, the anticanonical divisor of three lines in general position on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ gives a nontrivial example of a generalized Kähler-Einstein structure.

Boulanger obtained remarkable results on the moment map in the cases of toric generalized Kähler manifolds from the view point of toric Kähler manifolds [Bou]. A generalized Kähler structure is equivalent to a bihermitian structure with the certain torsion condition. From the viewpoint of bihermitian geometry, generalized Kähler Ricci flow was introduced [St1]. It is interesting to find out an expression of our moment map in terms of bihermitian geometry. There is a remarkable link between generalized geometry and noncommutative algebraic geometry. It is quite natural to ask whether the existence of generalized Kähler structure with constant generalized scalar curvature is related with a stability on a noncommutative algebraic manifold.

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Professor N. J. Hitchin for his interests and remarkable comments on his results. The author also thanks Shinnosuke

[†]A generalized Kähler structure of type $(0, 0)$ corresponds to a degenerate bihermitian structure, i.e., $[J_+, J_-]_x \neq 0$ for all $x \in M$.

Okawa for valuable discussions on the relation between generalized geometry and non-commutative algebraic geometry. The author would like to thank Marco Gualtieri and Vesti Apostolov for valuable comments.

2 Generalized complex structures

Let M be a differentiable manifold of real dimension $2n$. The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T \oplus T^*}$ on the direct sum $T_M \oplus T_M^*$ over a differentiable manifold M of $\dim = 2n$ is defined by

$$\langle v + \xi, u + \eta \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} = \frac{1}{2} (\xi(u) + \eta(v)), \quad u, v \in T_M, \xi, \eta \in T_M^*.$$

Let $\text{SO}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ be the fibre bundle over M with fibre $\text{SO}(2n, 2n)$ which is a subbundle of $\text{End}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ preserving the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$. An almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is a section of $\text{SO}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ satisfying $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{id}$. Then as in the case of almost complex structures, an almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ yields the eigenspace decomposition: $(T_M \oplus T_M^*)^{\mathbb{C}} = E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$, where $E_{\mathcal{J}}$ is $-\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspaces and $\overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the complex conjugate of $E_{\mathcal{J}}$. The Courant bracket of $T_M \oplus T_M^*$ is defined by

$$[u + \xi, v + \eta]_{\text{co}} = [u, v] + \mathcal{L}_u \eta - \mathcal{L}_v \xi - \frac{1}{2} (di_u \eta - di_v \xi),$$

where $u, v \in TM$ and $\xi, \eta \in T^*M$. If $E_{\mathcal{J}}$ is involutive with respect to the Courant bracket, then $\mathcal{J}$ is a generalized complex structure, that is, $[e_1, e_2]_{\text{co}} \in \Gamma(E_{\mathcal{J}})$ for any two elements $e_1 = u + \xi, e_2 = v + \eta \in \Gamma(E_{\mathcal{J}})$.

Let $\text{CL}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ be the Clifford algebra bundle which is a fibre bundle with fibre the Clifford algebra $\text{CL}(2n, 2n)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T \oplus T^*}$ on M . Then a vector v acts on the space of differential forms $\oplus_{p=0}^{2n} \wedge^p T^*M$ by the interior product i_v and a 1-form acts on $\oplus_{p=0}^{2n} \wedge^p T^*M$ by the exterior product $\theta \wedge$, respectively. Then the space of differential forms gives a representation of the Clifford algebra $\text{CL}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ which is the spin representation of $\text{CL}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$. Thus the spin representation of the Clifford algebra arises as the space of differential forms

$$\wedge^{\bullet} T_M^* = \oplus_p \wedge^p T_M^* = \wedge^{\text{even}} T_M^* \oplus \wedge^{\text{odd}} T_M^*.$$

The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ of the spin representation is given by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_s := (\alpha \wedge \sigma \beta)_{[2n]},$$

where $(\alpha \wedge \sigma \beta)_{[2n]}$ is the component of degree $2n$ of $\alpha \wedge \sigma \beta \in \oplus_p \wedge^p T^*M$ and σ denotes the Clifford involution which is given by

$$\sigma \beta = \begin{cases} +\beta & \deg \beta \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \\ -\beta & \deg \beta \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

We define $\ker \Phi := \{e \in (T_M \oplus T_M^*)^{\mathbb{C}} \mid e \cdot \Phi = 0\}$ for a differential form $\Phi \in \wedge^{\text{even/odd}} T_M^*$. If $\ker \Phi$ is maximal isotropic, i.e., $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \Phi = 2n$, then Φ is called a *pure spinor* of even/odd type.

A pure spinor Φ is *nondegenerate* if $\ker \Phi \cap \overline{\ker \Phi} = \{0\}$, i.e., $(T_M \oplus T_M^*)^{\mathbb{C}} = \ker \Phi \oplus \overline{\ker \Phi}$. Then a nondegenerate, pure spinor $\Phi \in \wedge^{\bullet} T_M^*$ gives an almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_{\Phi}$ which satisfies

$$\mathcal{J}_{\Phi} e = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{-1}e, & e \in \ker \Phi \\ +\sqrt{-1}e, & e \in \overline{\ker \Phi} \end{cases}$$

Conversely, an almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ locally arises as $\mathcal{J}_{\Phi}$ for a nondegenerate, pure spinor Φ which is unique up to multiplication by non-zero functions. Thus an almost generalized complex structure yields the canonical line bundle $K_{\mathcal{J}} := \mathbb{C}\langle \Phi \rangle$ which is a complex line bundle locally generated by a nondegenerate, pure spinor Φ satisfying $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\Phi}$. An generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_{\Phi}$ is integrable if and only if $d\Phi = \eta \cdot \Phi$ for a section $\eta \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$. The *type number* of $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\Phi}$ is defined as the minimal degree of the differential form Φ . Note that type number $\text{Type } \mathcal{J}$ is a function on a manifold which is not a constant in general.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let J be a complex structure on a manifold M and $\mathcal{J}^*$ the complex structure on the dual bundle T^*M which is given by $J^*\xi(v) = \xi(Jv)$ for $v \in TM$ and $\xi \in T^*M$. Then a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_J$ is given by the following matrix

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & -J^* \end{pmatrix},$$

Then the canonical line bundle is the ordinary one which is generated by complex forms of type $(n, 0)$. Thus we have $\text{Type } \mathcal{J}_J = n$.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let ω be a symplectic structure on M and $\hat{\omega}$ the isomorphism from TM to T^*M given by $\hat{\omega}(v) := i_v \omega$. We denote by $\hat{\omega}^{-1}$ the inverse map from T^*M to TM . Then a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_{\psi}$ is given by the following

$$\mathcal{J}_{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\omega}^{-1} \\ \hat{\omega} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Type } \mathcal{J}_{\psi} = 0$$

Then the canonical line bundle is given by the differential form $\psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega}$. Thus $\text{Type } \mathcal{J}_{\psi} = 0$.

EXAMPLE 2.3 (*b*-field action). A d -closed 2-form b acts on a generalized complex structure by the adjoint action of Spin group e^b which provides a generalized complex structure $\text{Ad}_{e^b} \mathcal{J} = e^b \circ \mathcal{J} \circ e^{-b}$.

EXAMPLE 2.4 (Poisson deformations). Let β be a holomorphic Poisson structure on a complex manifold. Then the adjoint action of Spin group e^β gives deformations of new generalized complex structures by $\mathcal{J}_{\beta t} := \text{Ad}_{\beta t} \mathcal{J}$. Then Type $\mathcal{J}_{\beta t_x} = n - 2$ rank of β_x at $x \in M$, which is called the Jumping phenomena of type number.

Let $(M, \mathcal{J})$ be a generalized complex manifold and $\overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ the eigenspace of eigenvalue $\sqrt{-1}$. Then we have the Lie algebroid complex $\wedge^\bullet \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \wedge^0 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}} \wedge^1 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}} \wedge^2 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}} \wedge^3 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

The Lie algebroid complex is the deformation complex of generalized complex structures. In fact, $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ gives deformed isotropic subbundle $E_\varepsilon := \{e + [\varepsilon, e] \mid e \in E_{\mathcal{J}}\}$. Then E_ε yields deformations of generalized complex structures if and only if ε satisfies Generalized Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]_{\text{Sch}} = 0,$$

where $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]_{\text{Sch}}$ denotes the Schouten bracket. The Kuranishi space of generalized complex structures is constructed.

Then the second cohomology group $H^2(\wedge^\bullet \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}})$ of the Lie algebraic complex gives the infinitesimal deformations of generalized complex structures and the third one $H^3(\wedge^\bullet \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}})$ is the obstruction space to deformations of generalized complex structures.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a local basis of $E_{\mathcal{J}}$ for an almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, where $\langle e_i, \overline{e}_j \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} = \delta_{i,j}$. The almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is written as an element of Clifford algebra,

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_i e_i \cdot \overline{e}_i,$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ acts on $T_M \oplus T_M^*$ by the adjoint action $[\mathcal{J}, \cdot]$. Thus we have $[\mathcal{J}, e_i] = -\sqrt{-1}e_i$ and $[\mathcal{J}, \overline{e}_i] = \sqrt{-1}\overline{e}_i$. An almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ acts on differential forms by the Spin representation which gives the decomposition:

$$\wedge^\bullet T_M^* = U^{-n} \oplus U^{-n+1} \oplus \dots \oplus U^n \quad (2.1)$$

3 Almost generalized Kähler structures

DEFINITION 3.1. An almost generalized Kähler structure is a pair $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ consisting of two commuting almost generalized complex structures $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$ such that $\hat{G} := -\mathcal{J}_1 \circ \mathcal{J}_2 = -\mathcal{J}_2 \circ \mathcal{J}_1$ gives a positive definite symmetric form $G := \langle \hat{G}, \cdot \rangle$ on $T_M \oplus T_M^*$. We call G a generalized metric. A generalized Kähler structure is an almost generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ such that both $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ are generalized complex structures.

$\mathcal{J}_i$ gives the decomposition $(T_M \oplus T_M^*)^\mathbb{C} = E_{\mathcal{J}_i} \oplus \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ are commutative, we have the simultaneous eigenspace decomposition

$$(T_M \oplus T_M^*)^\mathbb{C} = (E_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap E_{\mathcal{J}_2}) \oplus (\overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_2}) \oplus (E_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_2}) \oplus (\overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap E_{\mathcal{J}_2}).$$

Since $\hat{G}^2 = +\text{id}$, The generalized metric $\hat{G}$ also gives the eigenspace decomposition: $T_M \oplus T_M^* = C_+ \oplus C_-$, where $C_\pm$ denote the eigenspaces of $\hat{G}$ of eigenvalues ± 1 . We denote by $E_{\mathcal{J}_1}^\pm$ the intersection $E_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap C_\pm^\mathbb{C}$. Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap E_{\mathcal{J}_2} &= E_{\mathcal{J}_1}^+, & \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_2} &= \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_1}^+ \\ E_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_2} &= E_{\mathcal{J}_1}^-, & \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap E_{\mathcal{J}_2} &= \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}_1}^- \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = (M, J, \omega)$ be a Kähler manifold. Then the pair $(\mathcal{J}_J, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ is a generalized Kähler where $\psi = \exp(\sqrt{-1}\omega)$.

4 The stability theorem of generalized Kähler manifolds

It is known that the stability theorem of ordinary Kähler manifolds holds

THEOREM 4.1 (Kodaira-Spencer). *Let $X = (M, J)$ be a compact Kähler manifold and X_t small deformations of $X = X_0$ as complex manifolds. Then X_t inherits a Kähler structure.*

The following stability theorem of generalized Kähler structures was shown*.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $X = (M, J, \omega)$ be a compact Kähler manifold and $(\mathcal{J}_J, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ the induced Kähler structure, where $\psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega}$. If there are analytic deformations $\{\mathcal{J}_t\}$ of $\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathcal{J}_J$ as generalized complex structures, then there are deformations of d -closed nondegenerate, pure spinors $\{\psi_t\}$ such that pairs $(\mathcal{J}_t, \mathcal{J}_{\psi_t})$ are Kähler structures, where $\psi_0 = \psi$*

5 Generalized Ricci curvature and generalized scalar curvature

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be an almost generalized complex structure on M . The canonical line bundle $K_{\mathcal{J}}$ admits trivializations $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ and transition functions $e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}}$ relative to a cover $\{U_\alpha\}$, where

*The stability theorem of generalized Kähler structures still holds for a generalized Kähler structure which consists of $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$, where $\mathcal{J}_\psi$ denotes a generalized complex structure coming from a d -closed nondegenerate, pure spinor ψ . The stability theorem for arbitrary generalized Kähler structures is still an open problem.

ϕ_α is a C^∞ non vanishing sections of $K_{\mathcal{J}}|_{U_\alpha}$. Then $d\phi_\alpha$ is given by

$$d\phi_\alpha = \eta_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha + N_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\eta_\alpha \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ and $N_\alpha \in \wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^3 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ are real sections, i.e., $\eta_\alpha = \overline{\eta}_\alpha$, $N_\alpha = \overline{N}_\alpha$. Because of the reality condition, η_α and N_α are uniquely determined. Note that $\mathcal{J}$ is integrable if and only if the Nijenhuis type element N_α vanishes. A real function ρ_α on U_α is defined by

$$\langle \phi_\alpha, \overline{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = \rho_\alpha \langle \psi, \overline{\psi} \rangle_s \quad (5.2)$$

PROPOSITION 5.1. *A differential form $d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \overline{\psi}$ does not depend on the choice of trivializations $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ of the canonical line bundle.*

PROOF. Let $e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}}$ be the transition function on the intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Then we have $\phi_\alpha = e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} \phi_\beta$. Since $d\phi_\beta = (\eta_\beta + N_\beta) \cdot \phi_\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi_\alpha &= d(e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} \phi_\beta) = d\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} \phi_\beta + e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} (\eta_\beta + N_\beta) \phi_\beta \\ &= d\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot \phi_\alpha + (\eta_\beta + N_\beta) \cdot \phi_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $(\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot \phi_\alpha = (\eta_\beta + d\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + N_\beta) \cdot \phi_\alpha$. Since $N_\alpha, N_\beta \in \wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^3 \overline{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\eta_\alpha, \eta_\beta, d\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$, we have $N_\alpha = N_\beta$ and $\eta_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha = (\eta_\beta + d\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \phi_\alpha$. Since η_α is real, it follows that we have

$$\eta_\alpha = \eta_\beta + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta} \quad (5.3)$$

We also have

$$\rho_\alpha = \rho_\beta e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}} \quad (5.4)$$

Since $d((d\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi) = 0$, it follows from $d\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} = \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta}$ that we have

$$d(\partial_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi + d(\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi = 0$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + d\mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi - d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\beta + d\mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\beta) \cdot \psi \\ &= -2d\mathcal{J}(\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi + d\mathcal{J}d(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1}d(\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta} - \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi + \sqrt{-1}d(\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta} - \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta} - \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1}d\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi + 2\sqrt{-1}d\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \overline{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the result^{*2}. □

^{*}In this proof, note that we do not use the integrability of $\mathcal{J}$.

Hence $d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi}$ yields a globally defined differential form on M . Since $\psi = e^{b+\sqrt{-1}\omega}$, it follows that $d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi}$ is given by

$$d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} = (P - \sqrt{-1}Q) \cdot \bar{\psi}, \quad (5.5)$$

where P, Q are real d -closed 2-forms. In fact, $-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha$ is written as $v + \theta \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ for a vector v and a 1-form θ and then $-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi}$ is given by $(i_v b - \sqrt{-1}i_v \omega + \theta) \wedge \bar{\psi}$. Thus P and Q are given by $P = di_v b + d\theta$ and $Q = -di_v \omega$.

REMARK 5.2. Since $N_\alpha = N_\beta$, we have a globally defined section $N \in \wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^3 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ which is the Nijenhuis type tensor, that is, $\mathcal{J}$ is integrable if and only if $N = 0$.

DEFINITION 5.3. [Generalized Ricci form and generalized scalar curvature] We define a generalized Ricci form GRic to be a d -closed 2-form P in (5.5) and we define a generalized scalar curvature GR to be a real function on M which is given by the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GRic} &:= -P \quad \text{generalized Ricci form,} \\ \text{GR} &:= \frac{nP \wedge \omega^{n-1}}{\omega^n} : \text{generalized scalar curvature} \end{aligned}$$

where ω is a symplectic form.

REMARK 5.4. The generalized scalar curvature GR is invariant under the action of volume preserving diffeomorphisms and the action of d -closed b -fields.

We denote by $[\text{GRic}]$ the cohomology class of a real d -closed 2-form GRic. Then we have

PROPOSITION 5.5. *The cohomology class $[\text{GRic}]$ is given by the 1-st Chern class,*

$$[\text{GRic}] = 4\pi c_1(K_{\mathcal{J}}^{-1}) \in H^2(M)$$

PROOF. We calculate the spectral sequence from de Rham to Čech cohomology to determine a representative of Čech cohomology group given by d -closed form GRic. $d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi$ is d -exact on U_α . On $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, it follows from (5.3) and (5.4) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi - (-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\beta + \mathcal{J}d\log\rho_\beta) \cdot \psi \\ &= (-2\mathcal{J}(\eta_\alpha - \eta_\beta) + \mathcal{J}d(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \bar{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta})) \cdot \psi \\ &= -2\mathcal{J}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \partial\bar{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) + \mathcal{J}(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \partial_{\mathcal{J}}\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}\bar{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta} + \partial_{\mathcal{J}}\bar{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}d(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} - \bar{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}) \cdot \psi \\ &= 2dk_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{Im}} \cdot \psi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{Im}}$ denotes the imaginary part of $\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}$. Thus we have a Čeck representative,

$$2(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{Im}} + \kappa_{\beta,\gamma}^{\text{Im}} + \kappa_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\text{Im}}) \cdot \psi$$

Thus the representative of the class $[P]$ is given by $2(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{Im}} + \kappa_{\beta,\gamma}^{\text{Im}} + \kappa_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\text{Im}})$.

The 1-st Chern class $c_1(K_{\mathcal{J}})$ has a Čeck representative

$$c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \kappa_{\beta,\gamma} + \kappa_{\gamma,\alpha}) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}(\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{Im}} + \kappa_{\beta,\gamma}^{\text{Im}} + \kappa_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\text{Im}})$$

Thus we have $[P] = 4\pi c_1(K_{\mathcal{J}})$. Since $\text{GRic} = -P$, we obtain the result. $\square$

EXAMPLE 5.6. A GK structure $(\mathcal{J}_J, \psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega})$ is induced from the genuine Kähler structure. Then GRic and GR are the ordinary Ricci curvature and scalar curvature, respectively. In fact, we have ϕ_α to be a holomorphic n form $\phi_\alpha = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ and $\psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega}$ and $\langle \Omega_\alpha, \bar{\Omega}_\alpha \rangle_S = \rho_\alpha \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_S$. Thus $d\mathcal{J}d\log \rho_\alpha = -2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log \det g_{i,\bar{j}}$ is the ordinary Ricci form.

DEFINITION 5.7. We can generalize our construction of GR to the cases where ψ is an arbitrary d -closed, nondegenerate, pure spinor. In fact, $d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi}$ is still a representative of the first Chern class of $K_{\mathcal{J}}$ together with the class $[\bar{\psi}]$ and

$$GR^{\mathbb{C}} := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \frac{\langle \psi, \cdot, d(-2\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \mathcal{J}d\log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s}{\langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s}$$

is an invariant complex function under the action of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms and d -closed b -fields. In this general case, we define GR to be the real part of $\text{GR}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have

$$(\sqrt{-1})^{-n}(\text{GR})\langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s = \text{Re}(\sqrt{-1})^{-n+1}\langle \psi, d(-\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}d\log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s,$$

where Re stands for the real part. The real part is also written as

$$\begin{aligned} (\sqrt{-1})^{-n}(\text{GR})\langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s &= c_n \langle \psi, d(-\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}d\log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad - c_n \langle d(-\mathcal{J}\eta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}d\log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where $c_n = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{-1})^{-n+1}$.

EXAMPLE 5.8 (generalized Calabi-Yau metrical structure). If a generalized Kähler structure is induced from a pair (ϕ, ψ) which consists of d -closed, nondegenerate, pure spinors such that $\langle \phi, \bar{\phi} \rangle_S = \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_S$, then it is called a *generalized Calabi-Yau metrical structure*. Since $\rho_\alpha = 1$ and $\eta_\alpha = 0$, it follows that we have $\text{GR}^{\mathbb{C}} = 0$.

6 Generalized scalar curvature as moment map

Let $\mathcal{GC}(M)$ be the set of generalized complex structures on a differentiable manifold M of dimension $2n$. that is,

$$\mathcal{GC}(M) := \{ \mathcal{J} : \text{generalized complex structure on } M \}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{GK}(M)$ the set of generalized Kähler structures on M , that is,

$$\mathcal{GK}(M) := \{ (\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{J}_1) : \text{generalized Kähler structure on } M \}.$$

We also define $\widetilde{\mathcal{GC}}(M)$ as the set of almost generalized complex structures on M ,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{GC}}(M) := \{ \mathcal{J} : \text{almost generalized complex structure on } M \}.$$

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{GK}}(M)$ the set of almost generalized Kähler structures,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{GK}}(M) := \{ (\mathcal{J}_0, \mathcal{J}_1) : \text{almost generalized Kähler structure on } M \}.$$

Let ψ be a d -closed, non-degenerate, pure spinor which induces $\mathcal{J}_\psi$. The spinor inner product of ψ is given by $\langle \psi, \overline{\psi} \rangle_S = (\phi \wedge \sigma\psi)_{[2n]}$. In particular, if $\psi := e^{b+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\omega}$, then we have the volume form

$$\langle \psi, \overline{\psi} \rangle_S = \frac{\sqrt{-1}^n}{n!} \omega^n.$$

An almost generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is ψ -compatible if and only if the pair $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ is an almost generalized Kähler structure. Let $\mathcal{A}_\psi(M)$ be the set of ψ -compatible generalized complex structure, that is

$$\mathcal{A}_\psi(M) := \{ \mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{GC} : (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi) \in \mathcal{GK} \}.$$

We also define $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ to be the set of ψ -compatible almost generalized complex structures,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M) := \{ \mathcal{J} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GC}} : (\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GK}} \}.$$

For each point $x \in M$, we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)_x$ to be the set of ψ_x -compatible almost generalized complex structures, that is,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)_x := \{ \mathcal{J}_x | (\mathcal{J}_x, \mathcal{J}_{\psi,x}) : \text{almost generalized Kähler structure at } x \}.$$

Then we see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)_x$ is given by the Riemannian Symmetric space of type AIII[†]

$$U(n, n)/U(n) \times U(n)$$

which is biholomorphic to the complex bounded domain $\{h \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid 1_n - h^*h > 0\}$. Let P_ψ be the fibre bundle over M with fibre $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)_x$, that is,

$$P_\psi := \bigcup_{x \in M} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)_x \rightarrow M,$$

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ is given by sections $\Gamma(M, P_\psi)$ which contains $\mathcal{A}_\psi(M)$. We should introduce a Sobolev norm on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ becomes a Banach manifold. However we do not mention about the norm on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$. The tangent bundle of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ at $\mathcal{J}$ is given by

$$T_{\mathcal{J}}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M) = \{ \dot{\mathcal{J}} \in \text{so}(T_M \oplus T_M^*) : \dot{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J}\dot{\mathcal{J}} = 0, \dot{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{J}_\psi = \mathcal{J}_\psi\dot{\mathcal{J}} \},$$

where $\text{so}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ denotes the set of sections of Lie algebra bundle of $\text{SO}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$. Then it follows that there exists an almost complex structure $J_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ which is given by

$$J_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}(\dot{\mathcal{J}}) := \mathcal{J}\dot{\mathcal{J}}, \quad (\dot{\mathcal{J}} \in T_{\mathcal{J}}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M))$$

We also have a Kähler structure $\omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$ by

$$\omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}(\dot{\mathcal{J}}_1, \dot{\mathcal{J}}_2) := \frac{-1}{(\sqrt{-1})^n} \int_M \text{tr}(\mathcal{J}\dot{\mathcal{J}}_1\dot{\mathcal{J}}_2) \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_S \quad (6.1)$$

for $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_1, \dot{\mathcal{J}}_2 \in T_{\mathcal{J}}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$. Let $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)$ be an extension of diffeomorphisms of M by 2-forms which is defined as

$$\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M) := \{ e^b F : F \in \text{Diff}(M), b : 2\text{-form} \}$$

The action of $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)$ on $\mathcal{GC}(M)$ by

$$e^b F_\# \circ \mathcal{J} \circ F_\#^{-1} e^{-b},$$

where $F \in \text{Diff}(M)$ acts on $\mathcal{J}$ by $F_\# \circ \mathcal{J} \circ F_\#^{-1}$ and e^b is regarded as an element of $\text{SO}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$. We define $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)_\psi$ to be a subgroup consists of elements of $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)$ which preserves ψ ,

$$\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_\psi(M) = \{ e^b F \in \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M) : e^b F^* \psi = \psi \}.$$

PROPOSITION 6.1. *The symplectic structure $\omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}$ is invariant under the action of ψ -preserving group $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_\psi(M)$.*

[†] In Kähler geometry, the set of almost complex structures compatible with a symplectic structure ω is given by the Riemannian symmetric space $\text{Sp}(2n)/U(n)$ which is biholomorphic to the Siegel upper half plane $\{h \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid 1_n - h^*h > 0, h^t = h\}$.

An element $e \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ is called a *generalized Hamiltonian element* if and only if $e \cdot \psi = \sqrt{-1}df \cdot \psi$.

We assume that type number of $\mathcal{J}_\psi$ is 0, i.e., ψ is given by $\psi = e^{b+\sqrt{-1}\omega}$, where b is a real 2-form and ω denotes a symplectic form. Then a ψ -preserving action is given by $e^b \circ F \circ e^{-b}$, where F denotes a symplectic diffeomorphism. A generalized Hamiltonian action is given by $e^b \circ F_v \circ e^{-b}$, where F_v denotes a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism such that $i_v\omega = df$ for a Hamiltonian vector field v and a Hamiltonian function f . Then $e := v - i_v b$ satisfies $e \cdot \psi = \sqrt{-1}df \cdot \psi$, where f is a real function which is called a generalized Hamiltonian. We denote by $\text{GR}_\mathcal{J}$ the generalized scalar curvature of $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ for $\mathcal{J} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)$, where $\text{GR}_\mathcal{J}$ is a real function on M .

THEOREM 6.2. *There exists a moment map $\mu : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(M)^*$ for the generalized Hamiltonian action which is given by the generalized scalar curvature GR ,*

$$\langle \mu(\mathcal{J}), f \rangle = (\sqrt{-1})^{-n} \int_M f(\text{GR}_\mathcal{J}) \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$$

7 preliminary results for proof of the main theorem

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be an almost generalized complex structure which is compatible with ψ . We denote by $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ trivializations of the canonical line bundle $K_\mathcal{J}$, where each ϕ_α is a nondegenerate, pure spinor on U_α which induces the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$. Arbitrary small deformations of almost generalized complex structures of $\mathcal{J}$ are given by the adjoint action,

$$e^{h(t)} \circ \mathcal{J} \circ e^{-h(t)},$$

where $h(t) = h^{2,0}(t) + h^{0,2}(t)$ denotes a real section depending smoothly on parameter t which satisfy $h^{2,0}(t) \in \wedge^2 E_\mathcal{J}$ and $h^{0,2}(t) = \overline{h^{2,0}(t)} \in \wedge^2 \overline{E_\mathcal{J}}$. Then the infinitesimal deformation $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_h$ is given by

$$\dot{\mathcal{J}}_h := \frac{d}{dt} e^{h(t)} \circ \mathcal{J} \circ e^{-h(t)}|_{t=0} = [h, \mathcal{J}],$$

where h and $\mathcal{J}$ are regarded as elements of the Clifford algebra $\text{CL}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ and $[h, \mathcal{J}]$ denotes the commutator of h and $\mathcal{J}$ which is identified with the bracket of Lie algebra $\text{so}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$. The real element $h \in \wedge^2 E_\mathcal{J} \oplus \wedge^2 \overline{E_\mathcal{J}} \subset \text{CL}(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ acts on nondegenerate pure spinors ϕ_α on U_α by $\dot{\phi}_\alpha := h \cdot \phi_\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ are two almost generalized complex structures which are locally induced from $\{\phi_{\alpha,1}\}$ and $\{\phi_{\alpha,2}\}$ respectively. Two real elements h_1 and h_2 give rise to infinitesimal deformations $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1}$ of $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2}$ of $\mathcal{J}_2$, respectively. We also denote by $\dot{\phi}_{\alpha,h_i}$ an element $h_i \cdot \phi_{\alpha,i}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Then the symplectic form $\omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi(M)}$ as in (6.1) is given by

$$\omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}(\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1}, \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2}) = \frac{-1}{(\sqrt{-1})^n} \int_M \text{tr } \mathcal{J} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2} \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s,$$

where h_1, h_2 are real elements of $\wedge^2 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^2 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$

PROPOSITION 7.1. *The symplectic form $\omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}$ is given by*

$$c_n^{-1} \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}(\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1}, \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2}) = \int_M \rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2} \rangle_s - \int_M \rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1} \rangle_s$$

where $c_n = \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}}$ and ρ_α is the function as in (5.2) and $\dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_i} = h \cdot \phi_{\alpha, i}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

LEMMA 7.2.

$$\text{tr } \mathcal{J} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2} \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \{ \rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2} \rangle_s - \rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1} \rangle_s \}$$

PROOF. The formula is shown by a local calculation. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ be a local basis of $E_{\mathcal{J}}$ such that $\langle e_i, \bar{e}_j \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} = \delta_{i,j}$. Then the basis of $\{\bar{e}_i\}$ of $\bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ is regarded as the dual basis of $E_{\mathcal{J}}^*$. A real element $h \in \wedge^2 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^2 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ is written as $h = \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j} e_i \wedge e_j + \bar{h}_{i,j} \bar{e}_i \wedge \bar{e}_j$ and $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_h = [h, \mathcal{J}]$ is given by

$$\dot{\mathcal{J}}_h = h\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{J}h = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j} e_i \wedge e_j - \bar{h}_{i,j} \bar{e}_i \wedge \bar{e}_j$$

Thus we have

$$\text{tr } \mathcal{J} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2} = 4\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j} (\bar{h}_{1,ij} h_{2,ji} - h_{1,ij} \bar{h}_{2,ji}),$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_h$ acts on $T_M \oplus T_M^*$ by the adjoint $[\dot{\mathcal{J}}_h, \cdot]$. By using the formula $\langle e \cdot \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = -\langle \phi_\alpha, e \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s$, we have

$$\langle \bar{e}_i \cdot \bar{e}_j \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_k \cdot e_l \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = \langle e_l \cdot e_k \cdot \bar{e}_i \cdot \bar{e}_j \cdot \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s.$$

Applying $e_k \cdot \bar{e}_i + \bar{e}_i \cdot e_k = -2\langle e_k, \bar{e}_i \rangle_{T \oplus T^*}$, we have

$$\langle \bar{e}_i \cdot \bar{e}_j \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_k \cdot e_l \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = -4(\delta_{kj} \delta_{li} - \delta_{ki} \delta_{lj}) \langle \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1}, \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2} \rangle_s &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \bar{h}_{1,ij} h_{2,kl} \langle \bar{e}_i \cdot \bar{e}_j \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_k \cdot e_l \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &= -8 \sum_{i,j} \bar{h}_{1,ij} h_{2,ji} \langle \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2}, \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1} \rangle_s = -8 \sum_{i,j} h_{1,ij} \bar{h}_{2,ji} \langle \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s$$

Applying $\langle \phi_a, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = \rho_\alpha \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$, we have

$$\text{tr } \mathcal{J} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1} \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2} \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \{ \rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2} \rangle_s - \rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1} \rangle_s \}$$

□

PROOF. [**Proposition 7.1**] The result directly follows from Lemma 7.2 .

□

REMARK 7.3. Since $\rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1}, \overline{\dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2}} \rangle_s = \rho_\beta^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\beta, h_1}, \overline{\dot{\phi}_{\beta, h_2}} \rangle_s$ for α , and β , the $2n$ -form $\rho_\alpha^{-1} \langle \dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_1}, \overline{\dot{\phi}_{\alpha, h_2}} \rangle_s$ gives a globally defined $2n$ -form on M .

Note that $\omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is also written as

$$c_n^{-1} \omega_{\mathcal{A}}(\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_1}, \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{h_2}) = \int_M h_1 \cdot \phi_\alpha \wedge \sigma(\bar{h}_2 \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha) \rho_\alpha^{-1} - \int_M h_2 \cdot \phi_\alpha \wedge \sigma(\bar{h}_1 \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha) \rho_\alpha^{-1} \quad (7.1)$$

LEMMA 7.4. We have the following identity with respect to σ and d for a differential form ω

$$d\sigma\omega = \begin{cases} +\sigma d\alpha & (\deg \omega = \text{even}) \\ -\sigma d\omega & (\deg \omega = \text{odd}) \end{cases}$$

PROOF. $\sigma\omega$ is given by

$$\sigma\omega = \begin{cases} +\omega, & (\deg \omega \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}) \\ -\omega, & (\deg \omega \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

Then the result follows.

□

LEMMA 7.5. For $e_1, e_2 \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \wedge^\bullet T_M^*$, we have

$$\langle e_1 \cdot \omega_1, e_2 \cdot \omega_2 \rangle_S + \langle e_2 \cdot \omega_1, e_1 \cdot \omega_2 \rangle_S = 2 \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_S$$

PROOF. For $e \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \wedge^\bullet T_M^*$, we have

$$\langle e \cdot \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_S + \langle \omega_1, e \cdot \omega_2 \rangle_S = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\langle e_1 \cdot \omega_1, e_2 \cdot \omega_2 \rangle_S + \langle e_2 \cdot \omega_1, e_1 \cdot \omega_2 \rangle_S = -\langle e_2 \cdot e_1 \cdot \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_S - \langle e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_S \quad (7.2)$$

$$= -\langle (e_2 \cdot e_1 + e_1 \cdot e_2) \cdot \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_S \quad (7.3)$$

$$= 2 \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle_S \quad (7.4)$$

□

LEMMA 7.6. Let $\theta = \theta^{1,0} + \theta^{0,1}$ be a 1-form, where $\theta^{1,0} \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\theta^{0,1} \in \overline{E_{\mathcal{J}}}$. For $h = h^{2,0} + h^{0,2} \in \wedge^2 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^2 \overline{E_{\mathcal{J}}}$, we have the following:

$$[[h, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} = 2\sqrt{-1} ([h^{2,0}, \theta^{0,1}] - [h^{0,2}, \theta^{1,0}]) \cdot \bar{\psi}$$

PROOF. Let ω be a differential form satisfying $\mathcal{J}\omega = \sqrt{-1}k\omega$ for $-n \leq k \leq n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [h, \mathcal{J}]\omega &= h\mathcal{J}\omega - \mathcal{J}h\omega \\ &= \sqrt{-1}k(h^{2,0} + h^{0,2})\omega - \sqrt{-1}(k-2)h^{2,0}\omega - \sqrt{-1}(k+2)h^{0,2}\omega \\ &= 2\sqrt{-1}(h^{2,0} - h^{0,2})\omega \end{aligned}$$

Since $[h^{2,0}, \theta^{1,0}] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[h, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} &= 2\sqrt{-1}[(h^{2,0} - h^{0,2}), \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} \\ &= 2\sqrt{-1}([h^{2,0}, \theta^{0,1}] - [h^{0,2}, \theta^{1,0}]) \cdot \bar{\psi} \end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 7.7. If an infinitesimal deformation $[h, \mathcal{J}]$ preserving ψ , then it follows $[h, \mathcal{J}] \cdot \psi = 0$. We consider infinitesimal deformations $[h, \mathcal{J}]$ preserving ψ in this paper. Since $\mathcal{J} \cdot \psi = 0$ and $[h, \mathcal{J}] \cdot \psi = 0$, it follows that $[[h, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \psi = [h, \mathcal{J}]\theta \cdot \psi$.

LEMMA 7.8. For real elements $e, \theta \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ and $h = h^{2,0} + h^{0,2} \in \wedge^2 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^2 \overline{E_{\mathcal{J}}}$, we have

$$2\langle e \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, \theta \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} - 2\langle \theta \cdot h \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} = \sqrt{-1} \langle e \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \quad (7.5)$$

$$+ \sqrt{-1} \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \psi, e \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \quad (7.6)$$

PROOF. Since $h^{2,0} \cdot \phi_{\alpha} = 0$ and $[h^{2,0}, \theta^{1,0}] = 0$ and $\theta^{0,1} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} = 0$, the left hand side of (7.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{L.H.S}) &= 2\langle e \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, \theta \cdot h^{2,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} - 2\langle \theta \cdot h^{0,2} \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} \\ &= 2\langle e \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, \theta^{0,1} \cdot h^{2,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} - 2\langle \theta^{1,0} \cdot h^{0,2} \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} \\ &= -2\langle e \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, [h^{2,0}, \theta] \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} + 2\langle [h^{0,2}, \theta] \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 7.5 and $[h^{2,0}, \theta] \cdot \phi_{\alpha} = 0$, we have

$$(\text{L.H.S}) = -4\langle e, [h^{2,0}, \theta] \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \phi_{\alpha}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} + 4\langle e, [h^{0,2}, \theta] \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \phi_{\alpha}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \rho_{\alpha}^{-1}$$

It follows from $\langle \phi_{\alpha}, \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s = \rho_{\alpha} \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$ and Lemma 7.5 that we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{L.H.S}) &= -2\langle e \cdot \psi, [h^{2,0}, \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s - 2\langle [h^{2,0}, \theta] \cdot \psi, e \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad + 2\langle [h^{0,2}, \theta] \cdot \psi, e \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + 2\langle e \cdot \psi, [h^{0,2}, \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

From $[h^{2,0}, \mathcal{J}] = 2\sqrt{-1}h^{2,0}$, $[h^{0,2}, \mathcal{J}] = -2\sqrt{-1}h^{0,2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{L.H.S}) &= \sqrt{-1}\langle e \cdot \psi, [[h^{2,0}, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1}\langle [[h^{2,0}, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \psi, e \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1}\langle [[h^{0,2}, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \psi, e \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1}\langle e \cdot \psi, [h^{0,2}, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &= \sqrt{-1}\langle e \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1}\langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \theta] \cdot \psi, e \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the result. $\square$

LEMMA 7.9. Let $N = N^{3,0} + N^{0,3}$ be a real section of $\wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^3 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$, where $N^{3,0} \in \wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $N^{0,3} = \overline{N^{3,0}} \in \wedge^3 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$. Then we have

$$\langle e \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, N \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s = \langle N \cdot h \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s = 0$$

PROOF. Let $U_{\mathcal{J}}^k$ be the eigenspace of an eigenvalue $\sqrt{-1}k$ with respect to the action of $\mathcal{J}$. Then $e \cdot \phi_{\alpha} \in U_{\mathcal{J}}^{-n+1}$. Since $h^{0,2} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} &= (N^{3,0} \cdot h^{2,0} + N^{3,0} \cdot h^{0,2} + N^{0,3} \cdot h^{2,0} + N^{0,3} \cdot h^{0,2}) \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \\ &= N^{3,0} \cdot h^{2,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} + N^{0,3} \cdot h^{2,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $N^{3,0} \cdot h^{2,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \in U_{\mathcal{J}}^{n-5}$. Since $U_{\mathcal{J}}^{n+1} = \{0\}$, $N^{0,3} \cdot h^{2,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} = 0 \in U_{\mathcal{J}}^{n+1}$. Thus we have $\langle e \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, N \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s = 0$. Then it follows $\langle N \cdot h \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s = 0$. $\square$

LEMMA 7.10. Let N be as in before. Then we have

$$N \cdot \psi = 0$$

PROOF. Let $\{e_i\}$ be a local basis of $E_{\mathcal{J}}$. Since $d\phi_{\alpha} = \eta_a \cdot \phi_{\alpha} + N \cdot \phi_{\alpha}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e_i \cdot e_j \cdot e_k \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s &= \langle d\phi_{\alpha}, e_i \cdot e_j \cdot e_k \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \\ &= -\langle e_i \cdot e_j \cdot d\phi_{\alpha}, e_k \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \\ &= \langle [e_i, e_j]_{\text{co}} \cdot \phi_{\alpha}, e_k \cdot \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Thus the component $N_{i,j,k}^{3,0}$ is given by $N_{i,j,k}^{3,0} = N(e_i, e_j, e_k) = \langle [e_i, e_j]_{\text{co}}, e_k \rangle_{T \oplus T^*}$. Each $\bar{e}_i$ is decomposed into $\bar{e}_i = \bar{e}_i^+ + \bar{e}_i^-$, where $\bar{e}_i^{\pm} \in \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\pm}$. From $\bar{e}_i^- \cdot \psi = 0$ and $e_i^+ \cdot \psi = 0$, it suffices to show that $N(\bar{e}_i^+, \bar{e}_j^+, \bar{e}_k^+) \bar{e}_i^+ \cdot \bar{e}_j^+ \cdot \bar{e}_k^+ \cdot \psi = 0$ and $N(e_i^-, e_j^-, e_k^-) e_i^- \cdot e_j^- \cdot e_k^- \cdot \psi = 0$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\psi}$ is integrable, it follows from $[\bar{e}_i^+, \bar{e}_j^+]_{\text{co}} \in \bar{L}_{\psi}$. From $\bar{e}_k^+ \in \bar{L}_{\psi}$, we have

$$N(\bar{e}_i^+, \bar{e}_j^+, \bar{e}_k^+) = \langle [\bar{e}_i^+, \bar{e}_j^+], \bar{e}_k^+ \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} = 0$$

Thus $N(\bar{e}_i^+, \bar{e}_j^+, \bar{e}_k^+) \cdot \psi = 0$. We also have $N(e_i^-, e_j^-, e_k^-) = 0$. Hence $N \cdot \psi = 0$. $\square$

LEMMA 7.11. *If $[h, \mathcal{J}] \cdot \psi = 0$, then we have*

$$\langle e \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], N] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s = \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], N] \cdot \psi, e \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s = 0$$

PROOF. Since $[h, \mathcal{J}] \cdot \psi = 0$, It follows from Lemma 7.10 that we have $[[h, \mathcal{J}], N] \cdot \psi = 0$. Thus we have the result. $\square$

LEMMA 7.12. *If $[h, \mathcal{J}] \cdot \psi = 0$, then we have*

$$\langle e \cdot N \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = 0$$

PROOF. Since $h = h^{2,0} + h^{0,2} \in \wedge^2 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^2 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $N = N^{3,0} + N^{0,3} \in \wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^3 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e \cdot N \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s &= - \langle N \cdot \phi_\alpha, e \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &= - \langle N^{0,3} \cdot \phi_\alpha, e^{1,0} \cdot h^{2,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{1,0} \cdot h^{2,0} = h^{2,0} \cdot e^{1,0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e \cdot N \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s &= - \langle N^{0,3} \cdot \phi_\alpha, h^{2,0} \cdot e^{1,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &= \langle h^{2,0} \cdot N^{0,3} \cdot \phi_\alpha, e^{1,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $[h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1} \in \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ the component of $[h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]$. Then applying Lemma 7.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h^{2,0} \cdot N^{0,3} \cdot \phi_\alpha, e^{1,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s &= \langle [h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1} \cdot \phi_\alpha, e^{1,0} \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &= 2 \langle [h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1}, e^{1,0} \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &\quad 2 \langle [h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1}, e^{1,0} \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \rho_\alpha \\ &= \langle [h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1} \cdot \psi, e^{1,0} \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \rho_\alpha - \langle e^{1,0} \psi, [h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1} \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \rho_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 7.10 and $h \cdot \psi = 0$, we have $[h, N] \cdot \psi = 0$. Thus we have $[h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1} \cdot \bar{\psi} = 0$ and $[h^{2,0}, N^{0,3}]^{0,1} \cdot \psi = 0$. Hence we obtain $\langle e \cdot N \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = 0$. $\square$

8 Proof of main theorem

In order to show the main theorem, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mu(\mathcal{J}_t), f \rangle = \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}(L_e \mathcal{J}, \dot{\mathcal{J}}_h),$$

where f is a generalized Hamiltonian and e is a generalized Hamiltonian element satisfying $e \cdot \psi = \sqrt{-1} df \cdot \psi$ and $\mathcal{J}_t$ denotes deformations of $\mathcal{J}$ which satisfies $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_h = [h, \mathcal{J}]$. A

generalized Hamiltonian f gives a generalized Hamiltonian element $e \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ by $e = \mathcal{J}_\psi df$.

Let $\{(\phi_\alpha, U_\alpha)\}$ be trivializations of the canonical line bundle $K_{\mathcal{J}}$ as before. We denote by $\{\chi_\alpha\}$ a partition of unity such that the support of ϕ_α is contained in U_α . From Proposition 7.1, it suffices to show the following:

$$c_n^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \mu(J_t), f \rangle|_{t=0} = \int_M \langle L_e \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} - \int_M \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, L_e \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1}.$$

By using the partition of unity, f is given by $f = \sum_\alpha f_\alpha$, where $f_\alpha = \chi_\alpha f$ and a generalized Hamiltonian element $e \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ is also written as $e = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha$, where $e_\alpha = \mathcal{J}_\psi df_\alpha$.

LEMMA 8.1. *If $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\langle L_e \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = \langle L_e \phi_\beta, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\beta \rangle_s \rho_\beta^{-1}$$

PROOF. Since $\phi_\alpha = e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} \phi_\beta$, the Lie derivative $L_e \phi_\alpha := de \cdot \phi_\alpha + e \cdot d\phi_\alpha$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_e \phi_\alpha &= e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} L_e \phi_\beta + (e \cdot de^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} + de^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} \cdot e) \cdot \phi_\beta \\ &= e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} L_e \phi_\beta - 2 \langle e, de^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \phi_\beta \end{aligned}$$

Since $h \in \wedge^2 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^2 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$, we have $\langle e, de^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta}} \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \phi_\beta, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = 0$. Since $\bar{\phi}_\alpha = e^{\bar{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}} \bar{\phi}_\beta$ and $\rho_\alpha = e^{\kappa_{\alpha,\beta} + \bar{\kappa}_{\alpha,\beta}} \rho_\beta$, we have the result. $\square$

Thus there is a $2n$ -form $F_1(e)$ such that $F_1|_{U_\alpha} = \langle L_e \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1}$. Since $e = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha$, it follows that $F_1(e) = \sum_\alpha F_1(e_\alpha)$. Since the support e_α is contained in U_α , we have

$$F_1(e_\alpha) = \langle L_{e_\alpha} \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1}.$$

Since we can apply Stokes' theorem, we have

$$\int_M \langle de_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \rho_\alpha^{-1} h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = \int_M \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, d(\rho_\alpha^{-1} h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha) \rangle_s$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F_1(e_\alpha) &= \int_M \langle L_{e_\alpha} \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = \int_M \langle de_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} + \int_M \langle e_\alpha \cdot d\phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ &= \int_M \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, dh \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} + \int_M \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, (d\rho_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \int_M \langle e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

We define F_{1-1} , F_{1-2} and F_{1-3} by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1-1} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, dh \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ F_{1-2} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, (d\rho_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ F_{1-3} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $F_2(e_\alpha)$ the $2n$ -form $\langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, L_{e_\alpha} \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1}$. Applying Stokes' theorem again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F_2(e_\alpha) &= \int_M h \cdot \phi_\alpha \wedge \sigma(L_{e_\alpha} \bar{\phi}_\alpha) \rho_\alpha^{-1} = \int_M \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, de_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} + \int_M \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot d\bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ &= \int_M \langle dh \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} + \int_M \langle (d\rho_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \int_M \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N) \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

We also define F_{2-1} , F_{2-2} and F_{2-3} by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2-1} &= \langle dh \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ F_{2-2} &= \langle (d\rho_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ F_{2-3} &= \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N) \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$F_1(e_\alpha) - F_2(e_\alpha)$ is divided into the following three parts

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1-1} - F_{2-1} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, dh \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} - \langle dh \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ F_{1-2} - F_{2-2} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, (d\rho_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s - \langle (d\rho_\alpha^{-1}) \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ F_{1-3} - F_{2-3} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} - \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Deformations of almost generalized complex structures $\{\mathcal{J}_t\}$ are given by the action of one parameter family e^{ht} in Spin group which are induced from nondegenerate, pure spinors $e^{ht} \cdot \phi_\alpha$ and we have

$$de^{ht} \cdot \phi_\alpha = (\eta_\alpha(t) + N_\alpha(t)) \cdot e^{ht} \phi_\alpha, \quad (8.1)$$

where $\eta_\alpha(t) \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$ and $N_\alpha(t) \in \wedge^3(T_M \oplus T_M^*)$ are rel sections satisfying $\eta_\alpha(0) = \eta_\alpha$ and $N_\alpha(0) = N_\alpha$. Taking the derivative of both sides of (8.1) with respect to t , we have

$$dh \cdot \phi_\alpha = (\dot{\eta}_\alpha + \dot{N}_\alpha) \cdot \phi_\alpha + (\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha,$$

where $\dot{\eta}_\alpha = \frac{d}{dt} \eta_\alpha(t)|_{t=0}$ and $\dot{N}_\alpha = \frac{d}{dt} N_\alpha(t)|_{t=0}$. Since the real section η_α is decomposed into $\eta_\alpha^{1,0} + \eta_\alpha^{0,1}$, where $\eta_\alpha^{1,0} \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\eta_\alpha^{0,1} \in \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\dot{N}$ is also decomposed in to $\sum_{p+q=3} \dot{N}^{p,q}$, where $\dot{N}^{p,q} \in \wedge^p E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^q \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$. Note that $\dot{N}$ is not contained in $\wedge^3 E_{\mathcal{J}} \oplus \wedge^3 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ in general.

Then we have $\dot{\eta}_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha = \eta_\alpha^{0,1} \cdot \phi_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{J} \phi_\alpha = -n\sqrt{-1}\phi_\alpha$. We also have $\mathcal{J} \dot{\eta}_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha = \mathcal{J} \eta_\alpha^{0,1} \cdot \phi_\alpha = (-n+1)\sqrt{-1} \dot{\eta}_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha$. Then we have

$$[\mathcal{J}, \dot{\eta}_\alpha] \cdot \phi_\alpha = \mathcal{J} \dot{\eta}_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha - \dot{\eta}_\alpha \mathcal{J} \cdot \phi_\alpha = (-n+1)\sqrt{-1} \dot{\eta}_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha + n\sqrt{-1} \dot{\eta}_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha = \sqrt{-1} \dot{\eta}_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha$$

Then we have

$$dh \cdot \phi_\alpha = (\dot{\eta}_\alpha + \dot{N}_\alpha) \cdot \phi_\alpha + (\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha = -\sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, \dot{\eta}_\alpha] \cdot \phi_\alpha + \dot{N} \cdot \phi_\alpha + (\eta_\alpha + N_\alpha) \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha \quad (8.2)$$

We also have

$$\dot{N} \cdot \phi_\alpha = -\sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, (\dot{N}^{2,1} + \dot{N}^{1,2})] \cdot \phi_\alpha - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, (\dot{N}^{3,0} + \dot{N}^{0,3})] \cdot \phi_\alpha.$$

Since $\langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \dot{N} \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, (\dot{N}^{2,1} + \dot{N}^{1,2}) \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s$, we have

$$\langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \dot{N} \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = -\sqrt{-1} \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, [\mathcal{J}, \dot{N}] \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \quad (8.3)$$

Substituting (8.2) into F_{1-1} and using (8.3), we obtain

$$\langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, dh \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, (\eta_\alpha + \dot{N})] \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} + \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, (\eta_\alpha + N) \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1}$$

Thus the term F_{1-1} is divided into two terms F_{1-1-1} and F_{1-1-2} ,

$$F_{1-1} = F_{1-1-1} + F_{1-1-2}$$

where it follows from Lemma 7.9 that we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1-1-1} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ F_{1-1-2} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The term F_{2-1} is also divided into two terms

$$F_{2-1} = F_{2-1-1} + F_{2-1-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2-1-1} &= \langle -\sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ F_{2-1-2} &= \langle \eta_\alpha \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 7.5 and $\langle \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s = \rho_\alpha \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1-1-1} - F_{2-1-1} &= \sqrt{-1} \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} + \sqrt{-1} \langle [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1} \langle e_\alpha, [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1} \langle e_\alpha, [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \rangle_{T \oplus T^*} \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \psi, \sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \langle \sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha + \dot{N}] \cdot \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 7.10 and $\mathcal{J} \cdot \psi = 0$ that we have $N(t) \cdot \psi = 0$. Thus we have $\dot{N} \cdot \psi = 0$. It follows

$$F_{1-1-1} - F_{2-1-1} = \langle e_\alpha \cdot \psi, \sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \langle \sqrt{-1}[\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha] \cdot \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$$

From Lemma 7.12, the term F_{1-3} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1-3} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N) \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = \langle e_\alpha \cdot \eta_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ &= -\langle \eta_\alpha \cdot e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = F_{1-1-2} \end{aligned}$$

The term F_{2-3} is also given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2-3} &= \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot (\eta_\alpha + N) \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = -\langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \cdot e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ &= \langle \eta_\alpha \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} = F_{2-1-2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$F_{1-1-2} + F_{1-3} - F_{2-1-2} - F_{2-3} = 2\langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} - 2\langle \eta_\alpha \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1}$$

Applying Lemma 7.8 and substituting $\theta = \eta_\alpha$, we obtain

$$F_{1-1-2} + F_{1-3} - F_{2-1-2} - F_{2-3} = \sqrt{-1} \langle e_\alpha \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \eta_\alpha] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1} \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \eta_\alpha] \cdot \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1-2} - F_{2-2} &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, d\rho_\alpha^{-1} \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s - \langle d\rho_\alpha^{-1} \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \\ &= \langle e_\alpha \cdot \phi_\alpha, -\frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha} \cdot h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} - \langle -\frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha} \cdot h \cdot \phi_\alpha, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 7.8 and substituting $\theta = \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}$, we obtain

$$F_{1-2} - F_{2-2} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \langle e_\alpha \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s$$

Hence $F_1(e_\alpha) - F_2(e_\alpha)$ is given by the following,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(e_\alpha) - F_2(e_\alpha) &= \langle L_{e_\alpha} \phi_\alpha, h \cdot \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} - \langle h \cdot \phi_\alpha, L_{e_\alpha} \bar{\phi}_\alpha \rangle_s \rho_\alpha^{-1} \\ &= \sqrt{-1} \langle e_\alpha \cdot \psi, [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1} \langle [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha] \cdot \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1} \langle e_\alpha \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \eta_\alpha] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1} \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \eta_\alpha] \cdot \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \langle e_\alpha \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Since e_α is a generalized Hamiltonian element satisfying $e_\alpha \cdot \psi = \sqrt{-1} df_\alpha \cdot \psi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(e_\alpha) - F_2(e_\alpha) &= -\langle df_\alpha \cdot \psi, [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \langle [\mathcal{J}, \eta_\alpha] \cdot \psi, df_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad - \langle df_\alpha \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \eta_\alpha] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \eta_\alpha] \cdot \psi, df_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \langle df_\alpha \cdot \psi, [[h, \mathcal{J}], \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s - \frac{1}{2} \langle [[h, \mathcal{J}], \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \psi, df_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

The action of Spin group preserves the symmetric form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$. Since deformations $J_t := e^{h(t)} \circ \mathcal{J} \circ e^{-h(t)}$ is given by the action of Spin group $e^{h(t)}$, thus ρ_α does not depend

on t . Recall $\dot{\mathcal{J}} = [h, \mathcal{J}]$. Then $F_1(e_\alpha) - F_2(e_\alpha)$ is given by the following derivative at $t = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(e_\alpha) - F_2(e_\alpha) &= -\frac{d}{dt} \langle df_\alpha \cdot \psi, [\mathcal{J}_t, \eta_\alpha(t)] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s + \frac{d}{dt} \langle [\mathcal{J}_t, \eta_\alpha(t)] \cdot \psi, df_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle df_\alpha \cdot \psi, [\mathcal{J}_t, \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle [\mathcal{J}_t, \frac{d\rho_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha}] \cdot \psi, df_\alpha \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Since we consider deformations preserving ψ , we have $\mathcal{J}_t \cdot \psi = 0$. Thus we have $[\mathcal{J}_t, \eta_\alpha(t)] \cdot \bar{\psi} = \mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) \cdot \bar{\psi}$. The support of f_α is contained in U_α . Applying Stokes' theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F_1(e_\alpha) - \int_M F_2(e_\alpha) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle f_\alpha \psi, d(-\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_t d \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle d(\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_t \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi, f_\alpha \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(\mathcal{J} \eta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} d \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi}$ is a globally defined d -closed $2n$ -form, Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F_1(e) - F_2(e) &= \sum_\alpha \int_M F_1(e_\alpha) - \sum_\alpha \int_M F_2(e_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_\alpha \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle f_\alpha \psi, (-d\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) + \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{J}_t d \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_\alpha \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle (d\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) - \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{J}_t \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi, f_\alpha \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle f \psi, (-d\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) + \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{J}_t d \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle (d\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) - \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{J}_t \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi, f \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{-1} \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\psi}(L_e \mathcal{J}, \dot{\mathcal{J}}_h) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle f \psi, d(-\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_t d \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \int_M \langle d(\mathcal{J}_t \eta_\alpha(t) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_t \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi, f \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from (5.6) that the moment map μ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu(\mathcal{J}), f \rangle &= c_n \int_M \langle f \psi, d(-\mathcal{J} \eta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} d \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &\quad + c_n \int_M \langle d(\mathcal{J} \eta_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} \log \rho_\alpha) \cdot \psi, f \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \\ &= (\sqrt{-1})^{-n} \int_M f(GR_{\mathcal{J}}) \langle \psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the result.

9 Deformations of generalized Kähler structures with constant generalized scalar curvature

DEFINITION 9.1. If the generalized scalar curvature GR of a generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ is constant, then $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ is called a generalized Kähler structure with constant generalized scalar curvature, that is,

$$\text{GR} = \lambda \text{ (constant),}$$

where $\lambda = n \frac{c_1(K_{\mathcal{J}}) \cup [\omega]^{n-1}}{[\omega^n]}$

THEOREM 9.2. *Infinitesimal deformations of generalized Kähler structures with constant generalized scalar curvature are given by the cohomology group*

$$\ker \bar{\partial} \cap (\bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^-) / \text{Im} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^- \cap (\bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^-)$$

of the following elliptic complex :

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{C}}^\infty(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^-} \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^- \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}} (\wedge^2 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^-) \oplus (\bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \wedge^2 \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^-) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}} \dots$$

Since the cohomology group is finite dimensional for a compact manifold M , Infinitesimal deformations are also finite dimensional.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{A}_\psi(M)$ be the set of generalized complex structures which are compatible with ψ and $\text{Ham}_\psi(M)$ the generalized Hamiltonian group which acts on $\mathcal{A}_\psi(M)$. The orbit of $\text{Ham}_\psi(M)$ on $\mathcal{A}_\psi(M)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Ham}}(M)$. Let $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{A}_\psi(M)$ be a generalized complex structure such that $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ admits constant generalized scalar curvature. The formal tangent space of $\mathcal{A}_\psi(M)$ at $\mathcal{J}$ is given by $\varepsilon \in \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \wedge \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^-$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \varepsilon = 0$, since deformations of $\mathcal{J}$ preserves ψ . Since generalized Kähler structures with constant generalized scalar curvature are given by the inverse image $\mu^{-1}(0)$ of the moment map μ for the action of $\text{Ham}_\psi(M)$, infinitesimal deformations are the orthogonal complement of the direct sum of $(T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ham}} \oplus \mathcal{J} T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ham}})$, where $T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ham}}$ denotes the tangent space of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Ham}}$ at $\mathcal{J}$. The $T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ham}}$ consists of $L_e \mathcal{J}$ for Hamiltonian element $e = e^{1,0} + e^{0,1} \in T_M \oplus T_M^*$, where $e^{1,0} \in E_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $e^{0,1} \in \bar{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$. Thus $T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ham}}$ is given by $\{\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} e^{0,1} \mid e : \text{Hamiltonian element}\}$.

Since a Hamiltonian element e is given by $e = \mathcal{J}_\psi df$ for a hamiltonian f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} e^{0,1} &= \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} (\mathcal{J}_\psi df)^{0,1} = \sqrt{-1} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^-) (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ - \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^-) f \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^+ \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^- f \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{J}$ acts on $e^{0,1}$ by $\mathcal{J}e^{0,1} = \sqrt{-1}e^{0,1}$. Since f is a real function, we have the complexification,

$$T_{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{O}_{Ham} \oplus \mathcal{J}T_{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{O}_{Han} = \{ -2\sqrt{-1}\partial_{\mathcal{J}}^+\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}^-F \mid F \in C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(M) \}$$

Hence we obtain the result. $\square$

EXAMPLE 9.3. Let S be a K3 surface and $(\mathcal{J}_J, \mathcal{J}_{\psi})$ a generalized Kähler structure induced from a Ricci flat Kähler structure. We have the generalized Hodge decomposition $H^{\bullet}(S) = \oplus H^{p,q},$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^{-1,1} & & H^{1,1} \\ & & & & \\ H^{-2,0} & & & H^{0,0} & H^{2,0} \\ & & H^{-1,-1} & & H^{1,-1} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & H^{0,-2} \end{array}$$

Then infinitesimal deformations of generalized Kähler structures with vanishing generalized scalar curvature are given by $H^{0,0}(S)$, where $\dim H^{0,0} = 20$. In the cases of ordinary K3 surfaces, deformations of complex structures with vanishing Ricci tensor preserving a symplectic structure is 19 dimensional. Hence there is one more dimensional deformations which deform to generalized Kähler structures of type $(0,0)$ in next section.

10 Generalized Kähler structures of type $(0,0)$

DEFINITION 10.1. A generalized Kähler structure of type $(0,0)$ is a generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_{\phi}, \mathcal{J}_{\psi})$ which is induced from a pair

$$(\phi = e^{B+\sqrt{-1}\omega_1}, \psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_2})$$

which consists of d -closed, nondegenerate, pure spinors of symplectic types, where B is a real d -closed 2-form and ω_1 and ω_2 are symplectic forms, respectively.

PROPOSITION 10.2. $(\phi = e^{B+\sqrt{-1}\omega_1}, \psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_2})$ gives a generalized Kähler structure if and only if (ϕ, ψ) satisfies the followings :

- (1) $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm} := B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 \mp \omega_2)$ defines complex structures $I_{\pm}$ such that $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$ are d -closed holomorphic 2-forms, respectively.
- (2) ω_2 is tame w.r.t both $I_{\pm}$.

PROOF. Let E_ϕ be the eigenspace with eigenvalue $-\sqrt{-1}$ with respect to $\mathcal{J}_\phi$ and $\overline{E}_\phi$ the complex conjugate of E_ϕ . We denote by E_ψ the eigenspace with eigenvalue $-\sqrt{-1}$ with respect to $\mathcal{J}_\psi$ and $\overline{E}_\psi$ is the complex conjugate of E_ψ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\phi &= \{ v - i_v(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega_1) \mid v \in T_M^\mathbb{C} \}, & E_\psi &= \{ u - \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2 \mid u \in T_M^\mathbb{C} \} \\ \overline{E}_\phi &= \{ v - i_v(B - \sqrt{-1}\omega_1) \mid v \in T_M^\mathbb{C} \}, & \overline{E}_\psi &= \{ u + \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2 \mid u \in T_M^\mathbb{C} \} \end{aligned}$$

The condition $\mathcal{J}_\phi\mathcal{J}_\psi = \mathcal{J}_\psi\mathcal{J}_\phi$ is equivalent to the followings :

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_\phi \cap E_\psi = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_\phi \cap \overline{E}_\psi = n.$$

Thus $u - \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2 \in E_\phi \cap E_\psi$ if and only if $u - \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2 = u - i_u(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega_1)$. Thus $u - \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2 \in E_\phi \cap E_\psi$ if and only if $i_u(B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)) = 0$. Thus $\ker(B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)) := \{ u \in T_M^\mathbb{C} \mid i_u(B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)) = 0 \}$ is n dimensional if and only if $\dim E_\phi \cap E_\psi = n$. If $u \in \overline{E}_\phi$, then it follows from $E_\phi \cap \overline{E}_\phi = \{0\}$ that we have $u \neq \bar{u}$. Thus $\ker(B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)) \cap \ker(B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)) = \{0\}$. Hence $\omega_\mathbb{C}^+ := B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 - \omega_2)$ defines a complex structure I_+ such that $\omega_\mathbb{C}^+$ is a holomorphic symplectic form with respect to I_+ . We also see that $\ker(B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)) := \{ u \in T_M^\mathbb{C} \mid i_u(B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)) = 0 \}$ is $2n$ dimensional if and only if $\dim E_\phi \cap \overline{E}_\psi = n$. Thus $\omega_\mathbb{C}^- := B + \sqrt{-1}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ defines a complex structure I_- such that $\omega_\mathbb{C}^-$ is a holomorphic symplectic form with respect to I_- . Hence the condition $[\mathcal{J}_\phi, \mathcal{J}_\psi] = 0$ is equivalent to the condition (1). The eigenspace with eigenvalue ± 1 with respect to $G := \mathcal{J}_\phi\mathcal{J}_\psi$ are denoted by $C_\pm$, respectively. Then we have $C_+^\mathbb{C} = (E_\phi \cap E_\psi) \oplus (\overline{E}_\phi \cap \overline{E}_\psi)$ and $C_-^\mathbb{C} = (E_\phi \cap \overline{E}_\psi) \oplus (\overline{E}_\phi \cap E_\psi)$. For $u \in \ker \omega_\mathbb{C}^+ = T_{I_+}^{0,1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(u - \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2, \bar{u} + \sqrt{-1}i_{\bar{u}}\omega_2) &= \langle u - \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2, \bar{u} + \sqrt{-1}i_{\bar{u}}\omega_2 \rangle \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1}\omega_2(u, \bar{u}) \end{aligned}$$

For $u \in \ker \omega_\mathbb{C}^- = T_{I_-}^{0,1}$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} G(u + \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2, \bar{u} - \sqrt{-1}i_{\bar{u}}\omega_2) &= -\langle u + \sqrt{-1}i_u\omega_2, \bar{u} - \sqrt{-1}i_{\bar{u}}\omega_2 \rangle \\ &= -2\sqrt{-1}\omega_2(u, \bar{u}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $G = \mathcal{J}_\phi\mathcal{J}_\psi$ gives a generalized metric if and only if $-\sqrt{-1}\omega_2(u, \bar{u}) > 0$ for all $u \neq 0 \in T_{I_\pm}^{0,1}$. A symplectic structure is tame with respect to $I_\pm$ if and only if $\omega_2(x, I_\pm x) > 0$ for every real tangent $x \neq 0 \in T_M$. Since $-\sqrt{-1}\omega_2(x - \sqrt{-1}I_\pm x, x + \sqrt{-1}I_\pm x) = 2\omega_2(x, I_\pm x)$, Hence $G := \mathcal{J}_\phi\mathcal{J}_\psi$ gives a generalized metric if and only if ω_2 is tame with respect to $I_\pm$. Hence we obtain the result. $\square$

REMARK 10.3. On a 4 dimensional manifold, the condition (1) is equivalent to the followings

$$B \wedge \omega_1 = B \wedge \omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = 0, \quad B \wedge B = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \omega_2 \neq 0.$$

From a direct calculation, we have

PROPOSITION 10.4. *For a generalized Kähler structure of type $(0,0)$, $GRic$ and GR are given by*

$$GRic = -dB\omega_1^{-1}(d\log \frac{\omega_1^n}{\omega_2^n})$$

$$(GR)\omega_2^n = n\omega_2^{n-1} \wedge dB\omega_1^{-1}(d\log \frac{\omega_1^n}{\omega_2^n}),$$

where $B : T_M \rightarrow T_M^*$ and $\omega_i^{-1} : T_M^* \rightarrow T_M$ ($i = 1, 2$) and the composition $B\omega_1^{-1}$ is an endmorphism of T_M^* and then $B\omega_1^{-1}(d\log \frac{\omega_1^n}{\omega_2^n})$ is a 1-form and then the exterior derivative of $B\omega_1^{-1}(d\log \frac{\omega_1^n}{\omega_2^n})$ is the $GRic$ form.

EXAMPLE 10.5 (HyperKähler str.). Let (g, I, J, K) be a hyperKähler structure with three Kähler forms $(\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K)$. We define B and two symplectic forms ω_1, ω_2 by

$$B = \omega_J, \quad \omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_I + \omega_K), \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_I - \omega_K).$$

Then $(\phi = e^{B+\sqrt{-1}\omega_1}, \psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega_2})$ is a generalized Kähler structure which satisfies $GRic = 0$.

11 Generalized Kähler-Einstein structures

DEFINITION 11.1. A generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_\beta, \psi = e^{b+\sqrt{-1}\omega})$ is a generalized Kähler-Einstein if we have the following:

$$GRic = \lambda\omega$$

for constants λ .

12 Generalized Kähler-Einstein structures constructed from holomorphic Poisson deformations

Let (M, J, ω) be a Kähler manifold with a complex J and a Kähler structure ω . We assume that the m -dimensional torus T acts on M preserving the Kähler structure (J, ω) on M and there exists a moment map $\mu_T : M \rightarrow (t^m)^*$ for the action of T , where $m \geq 2$. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a basis of the Lie algebra t^m of the Torus T and $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^m$ the corresponding real vector fields which are generated by $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^m$. Each V_i is decomposed in to $V_i^{1,0} + V_i^{0,1}$,

where $V_i^{1,0} \in T_J^{1,0}$ and $V_j^{0,1} \in T_J^{0,1}$. Since $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are commuting vector fields, we have a real Poisson structure $\beta_{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$\beta_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j} V_i \wedge V_j,$$

where $\lambda_{i,j}$ are constants. Holomorphic vector fields $\{V_i^{1,0}\}_{i=1}^m$ also gives a holomorphic Poisson structure $\beta = \sum \lambda_{i,j} V_i^{1,0} \wedge V_j^{1,0}$. Let $(\mathcal{J}_J, J_\psi)$ be the generalized Kähler structure coming from the ordinary Kähler structure (J, ω) , where $\psi = e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega}$. Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be trivializations of $K_{\mathcal{J}_J}$, that is, each ϕ_α is a holomorphic n -form with respect to J . Then the action of $e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}}$ on each ϕ_α coincides with the action of e^β on ϕ_α , that is,

$$e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \phi_\alpha = e^\beta \cdot \phi_\alpha.$$

Thus the action of $e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}}$ on $\mathcal{J}_J$ gives Poisson deformations of $\mathcal{J}_{\beta t}$. Then the action of $e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}}$ gives deformations of almost generalized Kähler structures

$$(\mathcal{J}_{\beta t}, \mathcal{J}_{\psi_t}) := (e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}} t} \mathcal{J}_J e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}} t}, e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}} t} \mathcal{J}_\psi e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}} t}),$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{\psi_t}$ are almost generalized complex structures induced from $\psi_t = e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}} t} \cdot \psi$.

THEOREM 12.1. *Let $\mu_{T,i}$ be the function which is the coupling $\langle \mu_T, \xi_i \rangle$ of the moment map μ_T and $\xi_i \in t^m$. Then ψ_t is given by*

$$\psi_t = \exp\left(-\sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j} d\mu_{T,i} \wedge d\mu_{T,j} + \sqrt{-1}\omega\right)$$

Thus $d\psi_t = 0$ and $(\mathcal{J}_{\beta t}, \mathcal{J}_{\psi_t})$ are deformations of generalized Kähler structures.

PROOF. the exponential $e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is given by $e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} = \prod_{i,j} e^{\lambda_{i,j} V_i \wedge V_j} = \prod_{i,j} (1 + \lambda_{i,j} V_i \wedge V_j)$. Since $\omega(V_i, V_j) = 0$ and $i_{V_i} \omega = d\mu_{T,i}$, we have

$$V_i \wedge V_j \cdot \psi = -d\mu_{T,i} \wedge d\mu_{T,j} \wedge e^{\sqrt{-1}\omega}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \psi &= \prod_{i,j} (1 + \lambda_{i,j} V_i \wedge V_j) \cdot \psi = \prod_{i,j} (1 - \lambda_{i,j} d\mu_{T,i} \wedge d\mu_{T,j}) \cdot \psi \\ &= \prod_{i,j} e^{-\lambda_{i,j} d\mu_{T,i} \wedge d\mu_{T,j}} \cdot \psi \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j} d\mu_{T,i} \wedge d\mu_{T,j} + \sqrt{-1}\omega\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ_t is d -closed and $\mathcal{J}_{\psi_t}$ are generalized complex structures. Thus we have the result. $\square$

PROPOSITION 12.2. *Let (X, J, ω) be a Kähler-Einstein manifold which admits an action of real torus T^m ($m \geq 2$) preserving the Kähler structure (J, ω) . We assume that there exists a moment map for the action of T^m . We denote by $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ a basis of the Lie algebra t^m which yields vector fields $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^m$. We assume that $\beta_{\mathbb{R}} := \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j} V_i \wedge V_j$ is a nontrivial real Poisson structure for some constants $\lambda_{i,j}$. Then there exist nontrivial deformations of generalized Kähler-Einstein manifolds $(\mathcal{J}_{\beta t}, \psi_t)$, where $\{\mathcal{J}_{\beta t}\}$ are Poisson deformations of $\mathcal{J}_J$, where β is the holomorphic Poisson structure given by*

$$\beta = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j} V_i^{1,0} \wedge V_j^{1,0}$$

and $V_i = V_i^{1,0} + V_i^{0,1}$ and $V_i^{1,0} \in T_J^{1,0}$, and $V_i^{0,1} \in T_J^{0,1}$.

PROOF. It suffices to show Proposition 12.2 in the case of $\beta_{\mathbb{R}} = V_1 \wedge V_2$ which is a real Poisson structure given by the wedge of V_1 and V_2 . Let $\{\phi_a\}$ be trivializations of the canonical line bundle K_J which are given by the ordinary holomorphic n -forms. The action of T^m preserves the complex structure J and the canonical line bundle. Thus the action of V_1 and V_2 are the representations of weights n_1 and n_2 , respectively, that is,

$$L_{V_1} \phi_a = \sqrt{-1} n_1 \phi_a \quad L_{V_2} \phi_a = \sqrt{-1} n_2 \phi_a$$

From $[V_1, V_2] = 0$ and $d\phi_a = 0$, it follows that we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\beta_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot \phi_a) &= d(V_1 \wedge V_2) \cdot \phi_a = L_{V_1} V_2 \cdot \phi_a - V_1 dV_2 \cdot \phi_a \\ &= V_2 \cdot L_{V_1} \phi_a - V_1 \cdot L_{V_2} \phi_a \\ &= \sqrt{-1} n_1 V_2 \cdot \phi_a - \sqrt{-1} n_2 V_1 \cdot \phi_a \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_i \cdot \beta_{\mathbb{R}} = V_i \cdot V_1 \wedge V_2 = 0$, we have

$$de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \phi_a = (\sqrt{-1} n_1 V_2 - \sqrt{-1} n_2 V_1) \cdot e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \phi_a$$

Since $(V_1 - \sqrt{-1} \mathcal{J}_{\beta} V_1) \cdot \phi_a = (V_2 - \sqrt{-1} \mathcal{J}_{\beta} V_2) \cdot \phi_a = 0$, we have

$$de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \phi_a = (-n_1 \mathcal{J}_{\beta} V_2 + n_2 \mathcal{J}_{\beta} V_1) \cdot \phi_a.$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_{\beta} V_i = e^{\beta} \mathcal{J}_J e^{-\beta} V_i = \mathcal{J}_J V_i = J V_i$, we also have

$$de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \phi_a = (-n_1 J V_2 + n_2 J V_1) \cdot \phi_a.$$

Since $(-n_1 J V_2 + n_2 J V_1)$ is a real section, it follows that $\eta_a = (-n_1 J V_2 + n_2 J V_1)$ ^{*3} Let μ_T be the moment map for the action of T^m . Then $\mu_{T,i}$ is denoted by $\langle \mu_T, \xi_i \rangle$. Since $\omega(V_1, V_2) = 0$, $i_{V_i} \omega = d\mu_i$, we have

$$e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \psi = e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot e^{\sqrt{-1} \omega} = e^{\sqrt{-1} \omega} + V_1 \wedge V_2 \cdot e^{\sqrt{-1} \omega} \quad (12.1)$$

$$= e^{\sqrt{-1} \omega} - d\mu_{T,1} \wedge d\mu_{T,2} e^{\sqrt{-1} \omega} = \exp(-d\mu_{T,1} \wedge d\mu_{T,2} + \sqrt{-1} \omega) \quad (12.2)$$

^{*3} If $\beta_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j} V_i \wedge V_j$, then $\eta_a = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j} (-n_j V_i + n_i V_j)$

Since $\psi_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} := e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \psi$ is d -closed, then $\mathcal{J}_{\psi}$ is integrable. Hence $(\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}}, \mathcal{J}_{\psi})$ is a generalized Kähler structure. Since $\eta_{\alpha} \in T_M$, it follows that $e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \eta_{\alpha} e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} = \eta_{\alpha}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \eta_{\alpha} \cdot \psi_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} &= de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{J}_J e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \eta_{\alpha} e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \psi \\ &= de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} J \eta_{\alpha} \psi = de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} (n_1 V_2 - n_2 V_1) e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \psi \\ &= d(n_1 V_2 - n_2 V_1) e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \psi \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_i \cdot d\mu_j = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2$, we have $dV_i e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \psi = dV_i \psi$. Thus we have

$$d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \eta_{\alpha} \cdot \psi_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} = d(n_1 V_2 - n_2 V_1) \cdot \psi = \sqrt{-1} d(n_1 i_{V_2} \omega - n_2 i_{V_1} \omega) \cdot \psi = 0. \quad (12.3)$$

We calculate the term $d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} d \log \rho_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}}$. Since V_i preserves the function ρ_{α} , we have $L_{V_i} \rho_{\alpha} = 0$. Thus we have

$$e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} = \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} - [V_1 \wedge V_2, \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}}] = \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} d \log \rho_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} &= de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{J}_J e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} e^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cdot \bar{\psi} \\ &= de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{J}_J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \cdot \bar{\psi} = de^{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{J}_J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} e^{-\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \\ &= d\mathcal{J}_J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} + d[\beta_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{J}_J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}}] \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \\ &= dJ \left(\frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \right) \wedge \psi_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} + d(V_1 \langle V_2, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle - V_2 \langle V_1, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle) \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \\ &= dJ \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \cdot \psi_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} + \sqrt{-1} d \left(\langle V_2, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle i_{V_1} \omega - \langle V_1, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle i_{V_2} \omega \right) \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$-2d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \eta_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} + d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} d \log \rho_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} = dJ \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \quad (12.4)$$

$$+ \sqrt{-1} d \left(\langle V_2, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle i_{V_1} \omega - \langle V_1, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle i_{V_2} \omega \right) \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \quad (12.5)$$

As in Definition 5.3, $-2d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \eta_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} + d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} d \log \rho_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is written as

$$-2d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} \eta_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} + d\mathcal{J}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} d \log \rho_{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} = (P - \sqrt{-1}Q) \cdot \bar{\psi}_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}},$$

where $P = GRic$ and Q are real 2-forms. Since $\sqrt{-1} d \left(\langle V_2, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle i_{V_1} \omega - \langle V_1, J \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}} \rangle i_{V_2} \omega \right)$

is a pure imaginary 2-form and $dJ \frac{d\rho_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}}$ is a real 2-form, we obtain

$$GRic = -d\mathcal{J}_J d \log \rho_{\alpha}$$

Since (X, J, ω) is a Kähler-Einstein manifold, we have $-d\mathcal{J}_J \log \rho_{\alpha} = \lambda \omega$. Since $\psi_{\beta_{\mathbb{R}}} = \exp(-d\mu_{T,1} \wedge \mu_{T,2} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)$, we have $GRic = \lambda \omega$. $\square$

Let $X = (M, J)$ be a compact complex surface with effective anticanonical divisor. Let β be a nontrivial section of K^{-1} . Then β is a holomorphic Poisson structure. We denote by $\mathcal{J}_\beta$ Poisson deformations of generalized complex structures. Then from the stability theorem of generalized Kähler structures, there is a generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_\beta, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$, where $\psi = e^{B+\sqrt{-1}\omega}$ is a d -closed, nondegenerate, pure spinor. We denote by $D = \{\beta = 0\}$ the divisor given by zero of β . Then we have

PROPOSITION 12.3. *Let β be a Poisson structure on $X = \mathbb{C}P^2$ which is an anticanonical divisor D given by three lines in general position. Then there exists a generalized Kähler-Einstein structure $(\mathcal{J}_\beta, \mathcal{J}_\psi)$ such that*

$$\text{GRic} = 3\omega,$$

where $\psi = e^{b+\sqrt{-1}\omega}$.

PROOF. In the case, Poisson structure β is given by an action of 2-dimensional torus preserving the Kähler structure of $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Then the result follows from Proposition 12.2. $\square$

PROPOSITION 12.4. *Let (M, J, ω) be a toric Kähler-Einstein manifold of dimension m . Then there exist deformations of nontrivial generalized Kähler-Einstein structures from the ordinary Kähler-Einstein structure, where $m \geq 2$.*

PROOF. Since (M, J, ω) is a toric Kähler-Einstein manifold, there exists an action of T^m preserving the Kähler structure. Then the result follows from Proposition 12.2 $\square$

References

- [AGG] V. Apostolov, P. Gauduchon, G. Grantcharov, *Bihermitian structures on complex surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 79 (1999), 414-429 + Erratum in Proc. London Math. Soc. 92 (2006), 200-202.
- [Bou] L. Boulanger, *Toric generalized Kähler structures*, arXiv:1509.06785.
- [Do] S.K. Donaldson, *Remarks on gauge theory, complex geometry and 4-manifold topology*, Fields Medallists' lectures, 384-403, World Sci. Ser. 20th Century Math., 5, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997.
- [Fer] M. Garcia-Fernandez, *Torsion-free generalized connections and heterotic supergravity*, Comm. Math. Phys. 332 (2014) iss. 1, 89-115
- [Fu] A. Fujiki, *Moduli space of polarized algebraic manifolds and Kähler metrics* [translation of Sugaku 42 (1990), no. 3, 231-243; MR1073369]. Sugaku Expositions. Sugaku Expositions 5 (1992), no. 2, 173-191.

- [Go1] R. Goto, Poisson structures and generalized Kähler structures, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 61 (2009) no. 1, 107-132, arXiv:0712.2685.
- [Go2] R. Goto, *Deformations of generalized complex and generalized Kähler structures*, J. Differential Geom. 84 (2010), no. 3, 525–560, arXiv:0705.2495.
- [Go3] R. Goto, *Unobstructed K-deformations of Generalized Complex Structures and Bihermitian Structures*, Adv. Math. 231 (2012) 1041-1067, arXiv:0911.2958.
- [Go4] R. Goto, *Unobstructed deformations of generalized complex structures induced by C^∞ logarithmic symplectic structures and logarithmic Poisson structures*, Geometry and Topology of Manifolds 10th China-Japan Conference 2014, pp. 159-183, arXiv:1501.03398.
- [Gu1] M. Gualtieri, Branes on Poisson Varieties, The many facets of geometry, 368-394, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2010.
- [Hi1] N. J. Hitchin, Instantons, Poisson structures and generalized Kähler geometry, Comm. Math. Phys. 265 (2006), 131-164.
- [Hi2] N. J. Hitchin, Bihermitian metrics on Del Pezzo surfaces, J. Symplectic Geom. 5 (2007), 1-7.
- [Lin1] Y. Lin, S. Tolman, Symmetries in Generalized Kähler Geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. 268, (2006) 199-122.
- [TOU] T. Abdelgadir, S. Okawa, K. Ueda, *Compact moduli of noncommutative projective planes*, arXiv:1411.7770.
- [St1] J. Streets, *Generalized Kähler-Ricci flow and the classification of nondegenerate generalized Kähler surfaces*, arXiv:1601.02981.

E-mail address: goto@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science,
Osaka University Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, JAPAN